



Natural Sciences Engineering & Technology Journal (NASET Journal)

Journal Homepage: <https://nasetjournal.com/index.php/nasetjournal>

Analysis of the Level of Importance and Ease of Implementing Lean Construction in Building Construction Projects in Aceh Province, Indonesia

Ridal Syathabi¹, Alfa Taras Bulba^{1*}, Anita Rauzana¹

¹Civil Engineering Study Program, Universitas Syiah Kuala, Banda Aceh, Indonesia

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Building
Convenience
Importance
Importance performance analysis
Lean construction

*Corresponding author:

Alfa Taras Bulba

E-mail address:

alfatarasbulba@usk.ac.id

All authors have reviewed and approved the final version of the manuscript.

<https://doi.org/10.37275/nasetjournal.v5i1.45>

ABSTRACT

Lean construction is a construction implementation method that can minimize waste and maximize value. The problem is that there are still many contractor companies that adhere to conventional implementation methods. Lean construction has been introduced by the central government since 2016. This research aims to determine the level of importance and ease of implementation of lean construction on building construction projects in Aceh Province according to perceptions of the qualifications of small and non-small contractor companies partially and simultaneously. Draft Lean construction evaluated as many as 47 indicators. This research uses quantitative methods through questionnaires with a sample of 78 contractor company personnel. Technique sampling used proportionate stratified random sampling. Data analysis techniques used descriptive statistics and importance-performance analysis (IPA). The research results show that in the application of lean construction, according to the perception of small contractor companies, there are 11 indicators that are considered important and not easy to implement. The application of lean construction according to the perception of non-small contractor companies, there are 6 indicators that are considered important and not easy to implement. The application of lean construction according to the perception of small and non-small contractor companies, there are 11 indicators that are considered important and not easy to implement. For small and non-small qualifying contractor companies to increase readiness for implementation of lean construction in building construction projects in Aceh Province, it is necessary to increase the readiness of the indicators included in quadrant A.

1. Introduction

Lean construction is a construction implementation method that tries to minimize waste and maximize value. Waste is all forms of waste, while value is the value that should be achieved in the project. Thus, lean construction does not aim to streamline construction forms. However, lean construction aims to streamline all forms of waste or waste that have the potential to occur and focuses on increasing work productivity during the construction project implementation cycle. After the Central Government introduced the method of lean construction in 2016, implementation regulations have not been issued, as have regulations governing the implementation of sustainable construction. The

implementation of sustainable construction is regulated in the Regulation of the Minister of Public Works and Public Housing of the Republic of Indonesia, Number 9 of 2021. This regulation states that the implementation of construction services for constructing buildings or civil structures must implement sustainable construction.¹⁻⁴

There are no regulations regarding the implementation of lean construction; the implementation of building construction projects, especially in Aceh Province, is still a very profitable waste and neglected value. This is because there are still many contractor companies that adhere to conventional or traditional implementation methods. Conventional implementation methods tend to

produce waste and neglected value. As for form waste, which commonly arises in building construction projects, such as waiting time for instructions, waiting time for materials to arrive, waiting time for tools to arrive, loss of materials/equipment at the site, waste of materials and raw materials, damage to materials and ingredients at the site, idle labor, errors in work instructions, slow/ineffective workers, work rework/repair, and work accidents occur. Neglected form value in building construction projects, such as low quality of work and delays in project completion.⁵⁻
⁹ This study aims to analyze the importance and ease of implementation of lean construction on a building construction project in Aceh Province, Indonesia.

2. Methods

This research uses a quantitative method approach. Quantitative methods were used to examine respondents through questionnaire data collection. The object of this research is a building construction project. The criteria for building construction projects reviewed are those that have been completed by building subclassification contractor companies (BG001–BG009) with small and non-small qualifications, using funding sources from the 2017–2023 Aceh Revenue and Expenditure Budget (APBA), and have a contract value of > 1 billion rupiah. A total of 78 research objects were included in this study. The questionnaire instrument was designed based on 2: Part A questionnaire was designed to ask about the characteristics of the respondent. Part A of the questionnaire includes 6 indicators, namely gender, age, highest level of education, company position, company qualifications, and number of building construction projects ever built. Answers to part A of the questionnaire are measured according to the respondent's personality. Part B of the questionnaire was designed to ask about the level of importance and ease of implementation of lean construction on the project construction building in Aceh Province. As for implementation indicators, lean construction can be seen in Table 2. Each indicator is assessed for the level

of importance (Y) and level of convenience (X). Answers to part B of the questionnaire are measured using a Likert scale of the 4-level model. Scale Likert for assessing the level of importance (Y) indicators are very unimportant (STP) with a score of 1, not important (TP) with a score of 2, Important (P) with a score of 3, and very important (SP) with a score of 4. Likert scale for assessing the level of ease (X) indicators are very not easy (STM) with a score of 1, not easy (TM) with a score of 2, easy (M) with a score of 3, and very easy (SM) with a score of 4.

Instrument testing is carried out through validity and reliability tests. In this case, if all indicators are valid and variable reliable, then the direct questionnaire design can be used as a research data collection tool. However, if there are invalid indicators, then the questionnaire design must be modified. Importance performance analysis (IPA) is used to evaluate the percentage of readiness compliance, readiness difference, and level of implementation readiness lean construction on building construction projects in Aceh Province based on the level of importance and convenience of small and non-small contractor companies partially and simultaneously.

3. Results and Discussion

Table 1 shows the indicators for the level of importance and ease of implementation of lean construction. All of them are valid because they have the value $R_{count} > R_{table}$. This means that based on the perceptions of 30 contractor company personnel, 47 indicators are implemented lean construction is appropriate or appropriate to review for building construction projects in Aceh Province. Table 2 shows that the variables are the level of importance and ease of implementation. Lean construction has a value Cronbach Alpha > 0,6 so that variable is reliable. This means that based on the perceptions of 30 contractor company personnel, all indicators are of a level of importance, and ease of implementation of lean construction is representative of the variable.

Table 1. Validity test questionnaire.

| No. | Lean construction implementation indicators | R _{count} | | R _{table} | Information |
|-----|--|---------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------|
| | | Level of importance | Convenience level | | |
| 1 | Daily meetings with the workforce | 0,480 | 0,795 | 0,361 | Valid |
| 2 | Prefabricated | 0,557 | 0,648 | | Valid |
| 3 | Continuous improvement | 0,545 | 0,707 | | Valid |
| 4 | Team preparation | 0,475 | 0,513 | | Valid |
| 5 | Eliminate waste | 0,677 | 0,614 | | Valid |
| 6 | Standardization of work to increase time efficiency | 0,483 | 0,592 | | Valid |
| 7 | Analysis 5 whys to find the root cause of the problem | 0,515 | 0,728 | | Valid |
| 8 | Total quality management | 0,736 | 0,642 | | Valid |
| 9 | Visual management | 0,706 | 0,752 | | Valid |
| 10 | First attempt at process execution | 0,600 | 0,576 | | Valid |
| 11 | Error checking | 0,562 | 0,499 | | Valid |
| 12 | Value stream mapping | 0,539 | 0,700 | | Valid |
| 13 | Fishbone diagram to find the root cause of the problem | 0,634 | 0,532 | | Valid |
| 14 | First-in, first-out material | 0,645 | 0,645 | | Valid |
| 15 | Analyze failure modes and impacts | 0,578 | 0,749 | | Valid |
| 16 | Control the amount of material | 0,633 | 0,765 | | Valid |
| 17 | The final planning system involves the involvement of many parties | 0,568 | 0,517 | | Valid |
| 18 | Do a Pareto analysis to find out cause and effect | 0,555 | 0,486 | | Valid |
| 19 | Implement the plan do check act cycle (plan, do, check, act) | 0,524 | 0,707 | | Valid |
| 20 | On-time delivery | 0,589 | 0,693 | | Valid |
| 21 | 5S process (sorting, organizing, cleaning, habituating, and disciplining) | 0,545 | 0,510 | | Valid |
| 22 | Management commitment | 0,566 | 0,598 | 0,361 | Valid |
| 23 | Provide training courses in lean construction | 0,652 | 0,667 | | Valid |
| 24 | Employ employees who have knowledge of lean construction | 0,677 | 0,736 | | Valid |
| 25 | Effective communication | 0,385 | 0,688 | | Valid |
| 26 | Cross-functional teamwork (collaboration) | 0,645 | 0,668 | | Valid |
| 27 | Documentation of the implementation process | 0,624 | 0,605 | | Valid |
| 28 | Plan implementation | 0,736 | 0,605 | | Valid |
| 29 | Comparative studies with leading top companies | 0,576 | 0,682 | | Valid |
| 30 | Quality and reliability of material suppliers | 0,506 | 0,601 | | Valid |
| 31 | Building relationships with suppliers | 0,751 | 0,765 | | Valid |
| 32 | Building relationships with owner | 0,714 | 0,618 | | Valid |
| 33 | Using a supportive contract form lean construction | 0,545 | 0,697 | | Valid |
| 34 | Worksite layout design | 0,562 | 0,642 | | Valid |
| 35 | Workforce balancing | 0,696 | 0,688 | | Valid |
| 36 | Producing in small quantities | 0,650 | 0,795 | | Valid |
| 37 | Reduction of supply chain processes | 0,505 | 0,553 | | Valid |
| 38 | Reduction of working time | 0,501 | 0,528 | | Valid |
| 39 | Work scheduling | 0,666 | 0,691 | | Valid |
| 40 | Reduction of assembly processes | 0,483 | 0,579 | | Valid |
| 41 | Reduction of operational cycle costs | 0,566 | 0,476 | | Valid |
| 42 | Increased efficiency and preservation of materials used in projects | 0,745 | 0,613 | | Valid |
| 43 | Project waste reduction | 0,589 | 0,724 | | Valid |
| 44 | Improved occupational health and safety | 0,408 | 0,604 | | Valid |
| 45 | Saving energy and water used in the project | 0,403 | 0,645 | | Valid |
| 46 | The building design is integrated with building information modeling (BIM) | 0,563 | 0,687 | | Valid |
| 47 | Conservation of resources and environment | 0,656 | 0,629 | | Valid |

Table 2. Reliability test questionnaire.

| Variable | Cronbach Alpha > 0,6 | | Information |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-------------|
| | Level of importance | Convenience level | |
| Application of lean construction | 0,960 | 0,969 | Reliable |

Personnel from small qualified contractor companies in this study amounted to 57 respondents. Characteristics of 57 small qualified contractor company personnel: all of them are male, predominantly aged 31-40 years, last education at the undergraduate level, company position at level site engineer, and the number of building construction projects that have been built is 4–6 projects. Personnel from non-small qualifying contractor companies in this study amounted to 21 respondents. Characteristics of 21 non-small qualified contractor company personnel, all of whom are male, predominantly aged 41-50 years, highest education level S1, company position at level project manager and site engineer, and the number of building construction projects that have been built is 7–9 projects. Perceptions of small and non-small contractor company personnel's partial qualifications towards the level of importance and ease of implementation of lean construction have different evaluations. This is because a person's perception is influenced by subjective ways of thinking and feeling. These different perceptions can be accommodated through values mean, which is completed through descriptive statistics. Based on the perception of partially qualified small and non-small contractor company personnel, a modified IPA analysis can then be applied. The analysis of modified IPA in the context of this discussion aims to determine the percentage of suitability of readiness, the difference in readiness, and the level of readiness in implementing lean construction on building construction projects in Aceh Province according to the perception of small and non-small qualifying contractor companies partially. Readiness suitability (TKi) is a condition where partially small and non-small qualifying contractor companies perceive the level of ease as being the same as the level of importance of implementing the

indicators of lean construction. The difference in readiness (gap) is a difference in the perception of small and non-small qualifying contractor companies partially between the level of ease and the level of importance of applying the indicators of lean construction.¹⁰⁻¹⁴ The suitability of readiness and the difference in readiness for implementation of lean construction on building construction projects in Aceh Province, according to the perception of small and non-small contractor companies, the partial qualifications can be shown in Table 3.

Table 3 shows that there is the same perception between small and non-small qualifying contractor companies regarding the sequence suitability of readiness for implementation indicators for lean construction projects in Aceh Province for ranks 1–4. The indicator with the first ranking is the first attempt at process execution, where the conformity of readiness of small qualification contractor companies is 148.99% with a gap value of 1.281, while non-small qualifications are 146.15% with a gap value of 1.143. The indicator with the second-ranking is producing in small quantities, where the conformity of readiness of small qualified contractor companies is 144.59% with a gap value of 1.158, while non-small qualifications are 136.73% with a gap value of 0.857. The indicator with the third-ranking is prefabrication, where the conformity of readiness of small qualification contractor companies is 143.70% with a gap value of 1.035, while non-small qualifications are 125.45% with a gap value of 0.667. The indicator with the fourth-ranking is the work location layout design, where the suitability of the readiness of small qualification contractor companies is 118.95% with a gap value of 0.632, while non-small qualifications are 109.52% with a gap value of 0.286.

Table 3. Conformity of readiness and implementation differences lean construction according to the perception of small and non-small qualifying contractor companies partially.

| No. | Lean construction Implementation Indicators | Contractor company | | | | | |
|-----|--|----------------------|--------|------|--------------------------|--------|------|
| | | Small qualifications | | | Non small qualifications | | |
| | | TKi | Gap | Rank | TKi | Gap | Rank |
| 1 | Daily meetings with the workforce | 101,41% | 0,053 | 7 | 92,59% | -0,286 | 21 |
| 2 | Prefabricated | 143,70% | 1,035 | 3 | 125,45% | 0,667 | 3 |
| 3 | Continuous improvement | 96,31% | -0,140 | 19 | 87,95% | -0,476 | 29 |
| 4 | Team preparation | 93,83% | -0,246 | 24 | 90,48% | -0,381 | 25 |
| 5 | Eliminate waste | 96,92% | -0,123 | 16 | 92,86% | -0,286 | 20 |
| 6 | Standardization of work to increase time efficiency | 98,67% | -0,053 | 10 | 96,39% | -0,143 | 13 |
| 7 | Analysis 5 whys to find the root cause of the problem | 70,14% | -0,754 | 35 | 54,00% | -1,095 | 44 |
| 8 | Total quality management | 61,09% | -1,509 | 40 | 86,84% | -0,476 | 32 |
| 9 | Visual management | 61,43% | -1,509 | 39 | 83,75% | -0,619 | 35 |
| 10 | First attempt at process execution | 148,99% | 1,281 | 1 | 146,15% | 1,143 | 1 |
| 11 | Error checking | 98,58% | -0,053 | 11 | 94,87% | -0,190 | 16 |
| 12 | Value stream mapping | 93,24% | -0,263 | 26 | 86,90% | -0,524 | 31 |
| 13 | Fishbone diagram to find the root cause of the problem | 94,16% | -0,140 | 23 | 78,72% | -0,476 | 39 |
| 14 | First-in, first-out material | 105,66% | 0,211 | 5 | 96,34% | -0,143 | 14 |
| 15 | Analyze failure modes and impacts | 65,37% | -1,246 | 36 | 80,39% | -0,476 | 38 |
| 16 | Control the amount of material | 100,00% | 0,000 | 9 | 100,00% | 0,000 | 9 |
| 17 | The final planning system involves the involvement of many parties | 42,67% | -2,263 | 46 | 41,25% | -2,238 | 45 |
| 18 | Do a Pareto analysis to find out cause and effect | 76,34% | -0,544 | 34 | 60,42% | -0,905 | 40 |
| 19 | Implement the plan do check act cycle (plan, do, check, act) | 97,77% | -0,088 | 14 | 105,06% | 0,190 | 6 |
| 20 | On-time delivery | 88,53% | -0,439 | 29 | 88,61% | -0,429 | 28 |
| 21 | 5S process (sorting, organizing, cleaning, habituating, and disciplining) | 96,36% | -0,140 | 18 | 98,73% | -0,048 | 12 |
| 22 | Management commitment | 82,11% | -0,684 | 32 | 87,18% | -0,476 | 30 |
| 23 | Provide training courses in lean construction | 62,67% | -1,421 | 37 | 81,71% | -0,714 | 37 |
| 24 | Employ employees who have knowledge of lean construction | 62,50% | -1,474 | 38 | 81,93% | -0,714 | 36 |
| 25 | Effective communication | 92,42% | -0,281 | 27 | 89,74% | -0,381 | 26 |
| 26 | Cross-functional teamwork (collaboration) | 93,81% | -0,228 | 25 | 93,51% | -0,238 | 19 |
| 27 | Documentation of the implementation process | 97,73% | -0,088 | 15 | 98,77% | -0,048 | 11 |
| 28 | Plan implementation | 100,93% | 0,035 | 8 | 106,49% | 0,238 | 5 |
| 29 | Comparative studies with leading top companies | 42,99% | -2,140 | 45 | 36,36% | -2,333 | 47 |
| 30 | Quality and reliability of material suppliers | 86,60% | -0,491 | 30 | 89,47% | -0,381 | 27 |
| 31 | Building relationships with suppliers | 96,70% | -0,123 | 17 | 94,87% | -0,190 | 17 |
| 32 | Building relationships with owner | 98,56% | -0,053 | 12 | 96,20% | -0,143 | 15 |
| 33 | Using a supportive contract form lean construction | 47,69% | -1,98 | 43 | 85,19% | -0,57 | 34 |
| 34 | Worksite layout design | 118,95% | 0,632 | 4 | 109,52% | 0,286 | 4 |
| 35 | Workforce balancing | 95,05% | -0,193 | 21 | 101,32% | 0,048 | 7 |
| 36 | Producing in small quantities | 144,59% | 1,158 | 2 | 136,73% | 0,857 | 2 |
| 37 | Reduction of supply chain processes | 83,72% | -0,614 | 31 | 92,11% | -0,286 | 23 |
| 38 | Reduction of working time | 55,75% | -1,754 | 42 | 54,76% | -1,810 | 42 |
| 39 | Work scheduling | 103,76% | 0,140 | 6 | 101,25% | 0,048 | 8 |
| 40 | Reduction of assembly processes | 98,19% | -0,070 | 13 | 100,00% | 0,000 | 10 |
| 41 | Reduction of operational cycle costs | 94,23% | -0,211 | 22 | 91,46% | -0,333 | 24 |
| 42 | Increased efficiency and preservation of materials used in projects | 44,29% | -2,140 | 44 | 54,55% | -1,667 | 43 |
| 43 | Project waste reduction | 89,30% | -0,404 | 28 | 92,21% | -0,286 | 22 |
| 44 | Improved occupational health and safety | 95,89% | -0,158 | 20 | 93,90% | -0,238 | 18 |
| 45 | Saving energy and water used in the project | 82,06% | -0,702 | 33 | 86,25% | -0,524 | 33 |
| 46 | The building design is integrated with building information modeling (BIM) | 38,32% | -2,316 | 47 | 36,84% | -2,286 | 46 |
| 47 | Conservation of resources and environment | 57,69% | -1,544 | 41 | 57,14% | -1,571 | 41 |

Readiness level is a classification of readiness of partially small and non-small qualifying contractor companies, which is useful as a follow-up that needs to be taken in the pre-evaluation process application of indicators lean construction. Results of evaluation of implementation readiness level lean construction on building construction projects in Aceh Province, according to the perception of small contractor companies the qualifications are as follows: 1) Quadrant A (important and not easy to implement): Quadrant A means implementation indicators lean construction has a high level of importance, while the level of convenience is low. In other words, application indicators lean construction has very low readiness, so it needs to be improved as a top priority. In this case, there are 11 indicators distributed in quadrant A, namely total quality management, visual management, final planning system through the involvement of many parties, providing training courses in lean construction, employing employees who have knowledge in lean construction, comparative studies with leading top companies, using supporting contract forms lean construction, reduction of working time, increased efficiency and preservation of materials used in the project, integrated building design with BIM, and conservation of resources and the environment. 2) Quadrant B (important and easy to implement): Quadrant B means implementation indicators of lean construction have an equally high level of importance and level of convenience. In other words, application indicators lean construction has very high readiness, so it needs to be maintained. In this case, there are 28 indicators distributed in quadrant B, namely daily meetings with the workforce, continuous improvement, team preparation, eliminating waste, standardizing work to increase time efficiency, error checking, value stream mapping, and first in and out materials. First, control the amount of material, implementing the plan do check act cycle, on-time delivery, 5S process (sorting, structuring, cleaning, customizing, and disciplining), management commitment, effective communication, cross-functional teamwork, implementation process

documentation, planning implementation, quality and reliability of material suppliers, building relationships with suppliers, building relationships with owner, balancing work resources, reducing supply chain processes, work scheduling, reducing assembly processes, reducing operational cycle costs, reducing project waste, improving occupational health and safety, and saving energy and water used in projects. 3) Quadrant C (not important and not easy to implement): Quadrant C means implementation indicators of lean construction have an equally low level of importance and level of convenience. In other words, application indicators lean construction has low readiness, so it needs to be improved, which is included in the low priority. In this case, there are 4 indicators distributed in quadrant C, namely, an analysis of 5 whys to find the root cause of a problem, a fishbone diagram to find the root cause of a problem, an analysis of failure modes and impacts, and a Pareto analysis to find out cause and effect. 4) Quadrant D (not important and easy to implement): Quadrant D means implementation indicators of lean construction have a low level of importance and a high level of convenience. In other words, application indicators lean construction has excessive readiness, so it does not need to be increased further. In this case, there are 4 indicators distributed in quadrant D, namely prefabrication, first trial for process execution, work site layout design, and producing in small quantities.

Results of evaluation of implementation readiness level lean construction on building construction projects in Aceh Province, according to the perception of non-small contractor companies, the qualifications are as follows: 1) Quadrant A (important and not easy to implement): Implementation Lean construction whose readiness needs to be increased as a top priority, there are 6 indicators. These indicators are the final planning system through the involvement of many parties, comparative studies with top leading companies, reducing working time, increasing efficiency and preserving materials used in projects, integrated building design with BIM, and preserving resources and the environment. 2) Quadrant B

(important and easy to implement): Implementation Lean construction whose readiness needs to be maintained there are 33 indicators. These indicators are daily meetings with the workforce, continuous improvement, team preparation, eliminating waste, work standardization to increase time efficiency, total quality management, visual management, error checking, value stream mapping, first-in, first-out materials, control the amount of material, implementing the plan do check act cycle, on-time delivery, 5S process (sorting, structuring, cleaning, conditioning and disciplining), management commitment, providing training courses lean construction, employ employees who have knowledge in lean construction, effective communication, cross-functional teamwork, documentation of implementation processes, planning implementation, quality and reliability of material suppliers, building relationships with suppliers, building relationships with owner, using a supporting contract form lean construction, balancing work resources, reducing supply chain processes, work scheduling, reducing assembly processes, reducing operational cycle costs, reducing project waste, improving occupational health and safety, and saving energy and water used in projects. 3) Quadrant C (not important and not easy to implement): Implementation Lean construction whose readiness needs to be improved in low priority there are 4 indicators. The indicator is analysis 5whys to find the root cause of a problem, a fishbone diagram to find the root cause of a problem, an analysis of failure modes and impacts, and a Pareto analysis to find out cause and effect. 4) Quadrant D (not important and easy to implement): Implementation Lean construction There are 4 indicators whose readiness does not need to be increased. These indicators are prefabrication, first trial for process execution, work site layout design, and production in small quantities.

Personnel from small and non-small qualifying contractor companies in this study totaled 78

respondents. Characteristics of 78 personnel from small and non-small qualifying contractor companies, all of whom are male, predominantly aged 31-40 years, with a bachelor's degree, company position site engineer, and the number of building construction projects that have been built is 7-9 projects. Perceptions of small and non-small qualifying contractor company personnel simultaneously towards the level of importance and ease of implementation of lean construction have different evaluations. This is because a person's perception is influenced by subjective ways of thinking and feeling. These different perceptions can be accommodated through values mean, which is completed through descriptive statistics. Based on the simultaneous perception of qualified small and non-small contractor company personnel, modified IPA analysis can then be applied. The analysis of modified IPA in the context of this discussion aims to determine the percentage of suitability of readiness, the difference in readiness, and the level of readiness in implementing lean construction on building construction projects in Aceh Province according to the perception of small and non-small qualifying contractor companies simultaneously. Readiness suitability (TKi) is a condition where small and non-small qualifying contractor companies simultaneously perceive the level of ease as equal to the level of importance of implementing the indicators of lean construction. The difference in readiness (gap) is a difference in perception of small and non-small qualifying contractor companies simultaneously, between the level of ease and the level of importance of applying indicators of lean construction.¹⁵⁻¹⁸ The suitability of readiness and the difference in readiness for implementation of lean construction on building construction projects in Aceh Province, according to the perception of small and non-small contractor companies, the qualifications simultaneously can be shown in Table 4.

Table 4. Conformity of readiness and implementation differences in lean construction according to the perception of small and non-small qualifying contractor companies simultaneously.

| No. | Lean construction Implementation Indicators | Small and non-small qualified contractor companies | | |
|-----|--|--|--------|------|
| | | TKi | Gap | Rank |
| 1 | Daily meetings with the workforce | 98,98 | -0,038 | 10 |
| 2 | Prefabricated | 138,42 | 0,936 | 3 |
| 3 | Continuous improvement | 94,00 | -0,231 | 21 |
| 4 | Team preparation | 92,93 | -0,282 | 24 |
| 5 | Eliminate waste | 95,82 | -0,167 | 19 |
| 6 | Standardization of work to increase time efficiency | 98,06 | -0,077 | 12 |
| 7 | Analysis 5whys to find the root cause of the problem | 65,98 | -0,846 | 40 |
| 8 | Total quality management | 67,68 | -1,231 | 38 |
| 9 | Visual management | 67,33 | -1,269 | 39 |
| 10 | First attempt at process execution | 148,26 | 1,244 | 1 |
| 11 | Error checking | 97,58 | -0,090 | 15 |
| 12 | Value stream mapping | 91,50 | -0,333 | 26 |
| 13 | Fishbone diagram to find the root cause of the problem | 90,22 | -0,231 | 27 |
| 14 | First-in, first-out material | 103,06 | 0,115 | 6 |
| 15 | Analyze failure modes and impacts | 68,36 | -1,038 | 35 |
| 16 | Control the amount of material | 100,00 | 0,000 | 8 |
| 17 | The final planning system involves the involvement of many parties | 42,30 | -2,256 | 45 |
| 18 | Do a Pareto analysis to find out cause and effect | 72,07 | -0,641 | 34 |
| 19 | Implement the plan do check act cycle (plan, do, check, act) | 99,67 | -0,013 | 9 |
| 20 | On-time delivery | 88,55 | -0,436 | 29 |
| 21 | 5S process (sorting, organizing, cleaning, habituating, and disciplining) | 96,99 | -0,115 | 16 |
| 22 | Management commitment | 83,45 | -0,628 | 32 |
| 23 | Provide training courses in lean construction | 67,89 | -1,231 | 36 |
| 24 | Employ employees who have knowledge of lean construction | 67,75 | -1,269 | 37 |
| 25 | Effective communication | 91,70 | -0,308 | 25 |
| 26 | Cross-functional teamwork (collaboration) | 93,73 | -0,231 | 22 |
| 27 | Documentation of the implementation process | 98,01 | -0,077 | 13 |
| 28 | Plan implementation | 102,39 | 0,090 | 7 |
| 29 | Comparative studies with leading top companies | 41,24 | -2,192 | 46 |
| 30 | Quality and reliability of material suppliers | 87,37 | -0,462 | 30 |
| 31 | Building relationships with suppliers | 96,21 | -0,141 | 18 |
| 32 | Building relationships with owner | 97,92 | -0,077 | 14 |
| 33 | Using a supportive contract form lean construction | 57,91 | -1,60 | 41 |
| 34 | Work site layout design | 116,60 | 0,538 | 4 |
| 35 | Workforce balancing | 96,64 | -0,128 | 17 |
| 36 | Producing in small quantities | 142,64 | 1,077 | 2 |
| 37 | Reduction of supply chain processes | 85,91 | -0,526 | 31 |
| 38 | Reduction of working time | 55,48 | -1,769 | 43 |
| 39 | Work scheduling | 103,07 | 0,115 | 5 |
| 40 | Reduction of assembly processes | 98,68 | -0,051 | 11 |
| 41 | Reduction of operational cycle costs | 93,45 | -0,244 | 23 |
| 42 | Increased efficiency and preservation of materials used in projects | 46,96 | -2,013 | 44 |
| 43 | Project waste reduction | 90,07 | -0,372 | 28 |
| 44 | Improved occupational health and safety | 95,35 | -0,179 | 20 |
| 45 | Saving energy and water used in the project | 83,17 | -0,654 | 33 |
| 46 | The building design is integrated with building information modeling (BIM) | 37,93 | -2,308 | 47 |
| 47 | Conservation of resources and environment | 57,54 | -1,551 | 42 |

Table 4 shows that according to the perception of small and non-small qualifying contractor companies simultaneously, there are 7 indicators that exceed readiness, 1 indicator that meets readiness, and 39 indicators that are far from readiness. The dominant indicator goes beyond implementation readiness lean construction on a building construction project in Aceh Province. The first trial for process execution was 148.26%, with a gap value of 1.244. Indicators that meet implementation readiness lean construction in building construction projects in Aceh Province, control of the amount of material is 100.00% with a gap value of 0.000. The dominant indicators moved away from a readiness for implementation of lean construction in building construction projects in Aceh Province; the integrated building design with BIM was 37.93%, with a gap value of -2.308.

Readiness level is a classification of readiness for small and non-small qualifying contractor companies simultaneously, which is useful as a follow-up that needs to be taken in the pre-evaluation process application of indicators lean construction. Results of evaluation of implementation readiness level lean construction on building construction projects in Aceh Province, according to the perception of small and non-small contractor companies, the simultaneous qualifications are as follows: 1) Quadrant A (important and not easy to implement): Implementation Lean construction whose readiness needs to be improved as a top priority, there are 11 indicators. These indicators are total quality management, visual management, final planning system through the involvement of many parties, providing training courses in lean construction, employing employees who have knowledge in lean construction, comparative studies with leading top companies, using supporting contract forms lean construction, reduction of working time, increased efficiency and preservation of materials used in the project, integrated building design with BIM, and conservation of resources and the environment. 2) Quadrant B (important and easy to implement): Implementation Lean construction whose readiness needs to be maintained there are 28 indicators. These

indicators are daily meetings with the workforce, continuous improvement, team preparation, eliminating waste, standardizing work to increase time efficiency, error checking, value stream mapping, first-in-first-out materials, control of material quantities, and implementing cycles. plan do check act, on-time delivery, 5S process (sorting, structuring, cleaning, customizing and disciplining), management commitment, effective communication, cross-functional teamwork, implementation process documentation, planning implementation, quality and reliability of material suppliers, building relationships with suppliers, build relationships with owner, balancing work resources, reducing supply chain processes, work schedule, reducing assembly processes, reducing operational cycle costs, reducing project waste, improving occupational health and safety, and saving energy and water used in projects. 3) Quadrant C (not important and not easy to implement): Implementation Lean construction whose readiness needs to be improved in low priority there are 4 indicators. The indicator is analysis 5whys to find the root cause of a problem, a fishbone diagram to find the root cause of a problem, an analysis of failure modes and impacts, and a Pareto analysis to find out cause and effect. 4) Quadrant D (not important and easy to implement): Implementation Lean construction There are 4 indicators whose readiness does not need to be increased. These indicators are prefabrication, first trial for process execution, work site layout design, and production in small quantities.

4. Conclusion

Lean construction indicators are classified as important and not easy to implement in building construction projects in Aceh Province. According to the perception of small qualified contractor companies, there are 11 indicators, namely total quality management, visual management, final planning system through the involvement of many parties, providing training courses in lean construction, employing employees who have

knowledge in lean construction, comparative studies with leading top companies, using supporting contract forms lean construction, reduction of working time, increased efficiency and preservation of materials used in the project, integrated building design with BIM, and conservation of resources and the environment. Indicator Lean construction is considered important and not easy to implement on building construction projects in Aceh Province, according to the perception of non-small qualifying contractor companies, there are 6 indicators, namely the final planning system through the involvement of many parties, comparative studies with top leading companies, reduced working time, increased efficiency and preservation of materials used in projects, integrated building design with BIM, and conservation of resources and the environment. Indicator Lean construction which is considered important and not easy to implement on building construction projects In Aceh Province, according to the perception of small and non-small qualifying contractor companies (combined), there are 11 indicators, namely total quality management, visual management, final planning system through the involvement of many parties, providing training courses lean construction, employ employees who have knowledge in lean construction, comparative studies with leading top companies, using supporting contract forms lean construction, reduction of working time, increased efficiency and preservation of materials used in the project, integrated building design with BIM, and conservation of resources and the environment.

5. References

1. Adhi AB, Muslim F. Development of stakeholder engagement strategies to improve sustainable construction implementation based on lean construction principles in Indonesia. *Sustainability*. 2023; 15: 1–25.
2. Ahmed S, Hossain MM, Haq I. Implementation of lean construction industry in Bangladesh: awareness, benefits, and challenges. *International Journal of Building Pathology and Adaptation*. 2020; 39: 1–39.
3. Li M, Ma Z, Tang X. Owner-dominated building information modeling and lean construction in a megaproject. *Frontiers of Engineering Management*. 2021; 8: 60–71.
4. LPSE. Work history of contractor companies in implementing building construction projects in Aceh Province 2017–2022. 2023.
5. Nalendra ARA, Givan B, Sari I. Public service management. Indonesian Science Media, Bandung. 2021.
6. Nugraha P. Construction project scheduling from the critical path method to the introduction to 4D building information modeling. Kanisius, Sleman. 2021.
7. Regulation of the Minister of Public Works and Public Housing of the Republic of Indonesia Number 8 of 2022. Concerning procedures for implementing the fulfillment of construction services standard certificates in the context of supporting ease of business licensing for construction services business actors. 2022.
8. Republic of Indonesia Government Regulation Number 16 of 2021, Concerning Implementing Regulations of Law Number 28 of 2002 concerning Buildings. 2021.
9. Putri RII, Araiku J, Sari N. Descriptive statistics. Being Media Publishing, Palembang. 2020.
10. Ramadhayanti A. SPSS application for research and market research. Elex Media Komputindo, Jakarta. 2019.
11. Riyanto S, Hatmawan AA. Research methods quantitative research in the fields of management, engineering, education, and experimentation. Deepublish, Yogyakarta. 2020.
12. Riyanto S, Putera AR. Research methods for health & science research. Deepublish, Yogyakarta. 2022.
13. Ruminda M. Building purchase intention from a Webqual 4.0, Trust, Logistic Service

Perspective. Indonesian Science Media, Bandung. 2022.

14. Singh S, Kumar K. A study of lean construction and visual management tools through cluster analysis. *Ain Shams Engineering Journal*. 2021; 12: 1153–62.
15. Siswanto AB, Salim, MA. Project management. Pilar Nusantara, Semarang. 2020.
16. Sohilait E. Mathematics Education Research Methodology. Cakra, Bandung. 2020.
17. Sondakh DSI. Environmentally friendly aviation reference book implementing green marketing in National Aviation. Lakeisha, Klaten. 2022.
18. Watfa M, Sawalha M. Critical success factors for lean construction: an empirical study in the UAE. *Lean construction Journal*. 2021; 1–17.